



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SL

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/007,468	11/07/2001	Shinichi Shimomaki	01727/LH	2858
1933	7590	07/22/2004	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			JORGENSEN, LELAND R	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2675		6
DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/007,468	SHIMOMAKI, SHINICHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leland R. Jorgensen	2675

All Participants:

(1) Leland R. Jorgensen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Marilyn Stults.

(2) Leonard Holtz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2004

Time: 5:00 pm EST

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Jorgensen phoned Leonard Holtz on 21 July 2004 and asked him if they had filed a response to the Office Action dated 14 January 2004. Holtz stated that a response had been filed 14 April 2004. Jorgensen requested a copy. The next morning (22 July 2004), Marilyn Stults phoned Jorgensen. Stults asked for the fax number. Jorgensen told her to fax a copy of the Response to 703-872-9306 and to 703-746-7425 (Examiner's rightfax number). Jorgensen recommended that they include the Auto-Reply Receipt. Stults did so and Jorgensen has a copy.